

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :
v. : Hon. Faith S. Hochberg
EDWARD RIVERO, : Criminal No. 06-0455 (FSH)
Defendant. : **ORDER**
Date: July 11, 2008
:

HOCHBERG, District Judge:

This matter coming before the Court upon Defendant's motion (DKT#18) pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35(a) for reconsideration of the sentence imposed upon him by this Court on June 3, 2008 in connection with his violation of supervised release; and it appearing that on June 3, 2008 Defendant Rivero pleaded guilty to violating a condition of his release when he committed the state crime of forgery while on federal supervised release; and

it appearing that immediately after Defendant's plea, the Court imposed upon Defendant a nine-month sentence of imprisonment – to run consecutively with his state sentence of four years – and 24 months of supervised release; and

it appearing that the instant motion for reconsideration was dated June 10, 2008, was received by the Clerk of the Court on June 17, 2008; and

it appearing that Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35(a) provides that “[w]ithin 7 days after sentencing, the court may correct a sentence that resulted from arithmetical, technical, or other clear error”; and

it appearing that the Third Circuit has held that “the seven-day time requirement set forth in Rule 35(a) is jurisdictional”,¹ see United States v. Higgs, 504 F.3d 456, 464 (3d Cir. 2007); and

it appearing that the seven-day period during which time the Court had jurisdiction to consider a motion to reconsider Defendant’s sentence expired on June 10, 2008, prior to the date on which the Clerk of the Court received Defendant’s motion; and

it appearing therefore that the Court lacks jurisdiction to consider Defendant’s motion; and

it appearing that Defendant has also requested that the Court lift the federal detainer; and it appearing, however, that the detainer must remain in place so that, when Defendant completes his state sentence, the New Jersey authorities are on notice to transfer Defendant to federal custody.

ACCORDINGLY IT IS on this 11th day of July 2008,

¹ Defendant’s letter is dated June 10, 2008, which is within seven days of his plea and sentencing. Nevertheless, that factor does not change the Court’s analysis. As the Third Circuit noted,

We recognize that the consequences of following this rule seem to be harsh. After all, counsel filed the Rule 35(a) motion within seven days of the sentencing hearing, the time limit set forth in the Rule. However, the seven-day limit in the Rule does not apply to counsel’s motion. It is expressly in terms of the District Court’s action.

Higgs, 504 F.3d at 458-59. After the Third Circuit determined that the seven-day period in Rule 35(a) is jurisdictional, the court held that “[a]s a result, the District Court was without jurisdiction to . . . deny[] Higgs’ motion for reduction of sentence.” Id. at 464.

ORDERED that Defendant's request to lift his federal detainer is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendant's motion for reconsideration of his sentence is **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.

/s/ Faith S. Hochberg

Hon. Faith S. Hochberg, U.S.D.J.